United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

June 6, 2007

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 06-10995 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

JEFF SIBLEY,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas USDC No. 4:04-CR-100-1

Before SMITH, BENAVIDES, and DENNIS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Appealing the Judgment in a Criminal Case, Jeff Sibley preserves for further review his contention that his sentence is unreasonable because this court's post-Booker* rulings have effectively reinstated the mandatory Sentencing Guideline regime condemned in Booker. Sibley's argument is foreclosed by United States v. Mares, 402 F.3d 511 (5th Cir. 2005), and its progeny, which have outlined this court's methodology for reviewing sentences for reasonableness. The Government's motion for

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

[&]quot; <u>United States v. Booker</u>, 543 U.S. 220 (2005).

No. 06-10995

summary affirmance is GRANTED, and the judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.